The Quantum World

A Rapid Introduction: Day 3

Reuben R. W. Wang¹

¹Engineering Product Development Singapore University of Technology and Design

IAP, 2019



IAP 2019

1 / 34

-

• • • • • • • • • • • • •

• **Commutators**: Defined commutators between operators and their properties.

∃ ▶ ∢

- **Commutators**: Defined commutators between operators and their properties.
- Inner Products and Expectation: Defined inner products in infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces and expectation values.

- **Commutators**: Defined commutators between operators and their properties.
- Inner Products and Expectation: Defined inner products in infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces and expectation values.
- Hermitian Operators and the Spectral Theorem: Hermitian observables will always have real eigenvalues and orthonormal eigenvectors.

- **Commutators**: Defined commutators between operators and their properties.
- Inner Products and Expectation: Defined inner products in infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces and expectation values.
- Hermitian Operators and the Spectral Theorem: Hermitian observables will always have real eigenvalues and orthonormal eigenvectors.
- **Measurement**: Measuring an observable collapses the state into an eigenstate producing the associated eigenvalue.

- **Commutators**: Defined commutators between operators and their properties.
- Inner Products and Expectation: Defined inner products in infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces and expectation values.
- Hermitian Operators and the Spectral Theorem: Hermitian observables will always have real eigenvalues and orthonormal eigenvectors.
- **Measurement**: Measuring an observable collapses the state into an eigenstate producing the associated eigenvalue.
- Stationary States and 1D potentials: Separable solutions allow us for energy eigenstate solutions in 1D potentials.

• To define an inner product, we require a definition of **conjugate transposition**. Conjugate transpose of a ket is called the '**bra**':

$$\langle \psi | = \left(|\psi\rangle^* \right)^T = |\psi\rangle^\dagger$$

• To define an inner product, we require a definition of **conjugate transposition**. Conjugate transpose of a ket is called the 'bra':

$$\langle \psi | = \left(|\psi\rangle^* \right)^T = |\psi\rangle^\dagger$$

• The symbol we use for conjugate transposition (†) is the 'dagger'.

• To define an inner product, we require a definition of **conjugate transposition**. Conjugate transpose of a ket is called the '**bra**':

$$\langle \psi | = \left(|\psi\rangle^* \right)^T = |\psi\rangle^\dagger$$

- The symbol we use for conjugate transposition (†) is the 'dagger'.
- Inner products are done by closing the 'bra-ket':

$$\langle \psi, \phi \rangle = \langle \psi | \phi \rangle$$

.

• To define an inner product, we require a definition of **conjugate transposition**. Conjugate transpose of a ket is called the '**bra**':

$$\langle \psi | = \left(|\psi\rangle^* \right)^T = |\psi\rangle^\dagger$$

- The symbol we use for conjugate transposition (†) is the 'dagger'.
- Inner products are done by closing the 'bra-ket':

$$\langle \psi, \phi \rangle = \langle \psi | \phi \rangle$$

• **Expectations** are performed in the same way with the observable wedged in-between:

$$\langle \hat{Q}
angle_{\psi} = \langle \psi | \ \hat{Q} \, | \psi
angle$$

.

• Operators that act on these kets/states/wave functions will adopt a **matrix representation**.

- Operators that act on these kets/states/wave functions will adopt a **matrix representation**.
- The entries of these matrices for a given operator \hat{Q} are:

$$[\hat{Q}]_{ij} = \langle \psi_i | \, \hat{Q} \, | \psi_j
angle$$

- Operators that act on these kets/states/wave functions will adopt a **matrix representation**.
- The entries of these matrices for a given operator \hat{Q} are:

$$[\hat{Q}]_{ij} = \langle \psi_i | \ \hat{Q} | \psi_j \rangle$$

• It is imperative to **specify which basis** we are working with in order to construct the matrix representation of an operator.

- Operators that act on these kets/states/wave functions will adopt a **matrix representation**.
- The entries of these matrices for a given operator \hat{Q} are:

$$[\hat{Q}]_{ij} = \langle \psi_i | \, \hat{Q} \, | \psi_j \rangle$$

- It is imperative to **specify which basis** we are working with in order to construct the matrix representation of an operator.
- To be explicit, given some basis $\mathcal{B} = \{ |\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle, ..., |\psi_n\rangle \}$, the matrix representation of \hat{Q} will be:

$$\hat{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} \langle \psi_1 | \ \hat{Q} | \psi_1 \rangle & \langle \psi_1 | \ \hat{Q} | \psi_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle \psi_1 | \ \hat{Q} | \psi_n \rangle \\ \langle \psi_2 | \ \hat{Q} | \psi_1 \rangle & \langle \psi_2 | \ \hat{Q} | \psi_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle \psi_2 | \ \hat{Q} | \psi_n \rangle \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \langle \psi_n | \ \hat{Q} | \psi_1 \rangle & \langle \psi_n | \ \hat{Q} | \psi_2 \rangle & \dots & \langle \psi_n | \ \hat{Q} | \psi_n \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

RW (SUTD)









- **4 ∃ ≻** 4

TLDR; Classical Computers: Classical Machines

• There are many models of classical computation.

- There are many models of classical computation.
- Some of these are *universal* while others are not.

- There are many models of classical computation.
- Some of these are *universal* while others are not.
- This idea of *universal computation* was proposed by Alan Turing in 1936: any 'reasonable' computation can be solved by a *universal machine*.

- There are many models of classical computation.
- Some of these are *universal* while others are not.
- This idea of *universal computation* was proposed by Alan Turing in 1936: any 'reasonable' computation can be solved by a *universal machine*.
- The **circuit model** is what we will be looking more closely at because it will pave the way for the circuit model of quantum computation.

- There are many models of classical computation.
- Some of these are *universal* while others are not.
- This idea of *universal computation* was proposed by Alan Turing in 1936: any 'reasonable' computation can be solved by a *universal machine*.
- The **circuit model** is what we will be looking more closely at because it will pave the way for the circuit model of quantum computation.
- The circuit model is a generalization of the common *boolean circuitry* with universal gates (e.g. {AND, NOT}).

• To appreciate the advantages of quantum computing, it would be useful to have an understanding of basic complexity theory.

- To appreciate the advantages of quantum computing, it would be useful to have an understanding of basic complexity theory.
- For computational problems, if the number of operations is a polynomial function of the size of the input n, we call these class of problems *polynomial hard* ($\in P$).

- To appreciate the advantages of quantum computing, it would be useful to have an understanding of basic complexity theory.
- For computational problems, if the number of operations is a polynomial function of the size of the input n, we call these class of problems *polynomial hard* ($\in P$).
- These problems are contained in the bigger class of *NP*-hard problems (nondeterministic polynomial time).

- To appreciate the advantages of quantum computing, it would be useful to have an understanding of basic complexity theory.
- For computational problems, if the number of operations is a polynomial function of the size of the input *n*, we call these class of problems *polynomial hard* (∈ *P*).
- These problems are contained in the bigger class of *NP*-hard problems (nondeterministic polynomial time).
- Finally, there is a special class of problems which are known as *NP*-complete. Solving *NP*-complete problems allows us to solve **all** *NP* problems.

A set visualization of the aforementioned classes is given below.



Figure: Complexity Classes

TLDR; Classical Computers







Image: A test of te



Figure: Bristlecone (Google)

RW (SUTD)

IAP 2019 10 / 34

3 ×



Figure: Bristlecone (Google)



Figure: IBM's Quantum Computer

RW ((SUTD)
	00.0

IAP 2019 10 / 34



Figure: Bristlecone (Google)





Figure: D-Wave's Quantum Computer

Figure: IBM's Quantum Computer

IAP 2019 10 / 34



Figure: IBM's Commercial Quantum Computer

100101

IAP 2019 11 / 34

← → C △ ▲ https://quantumexperience.ng.bluemix.net/qx/editor	*) 🚱 🖽 🛛 🚳
18H Q 5 Tenerite (Annyal) Exat Calibration: 2019-01-04 12:39:10 Re Mailtouhr	Gate energy (int) 0.0 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 Frequency (int) 5.25 5.80 5.81 5.81 5.81 Tay or 42.00 1.80 97.00 3.80 5.00 1.9 Gate energy (in') 6.60 7.00 2.25 1.6 6.00 7.02 1.04
New experiment	New Save Save as
40) K 41) K 42] K 43] K	catro ● Marcal id × Y Z id × I S S id × Y Z I id × I S S id × I I S
¢dix	Cookie Preference

Figure: IBM QuantumExperience

RW ((SUTD)	۱

IAP 2019 12 / 34

\leftarrow \rightarrow C \triangle $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/quantum/index?view	w=gsharp-preview	* 0 🖬 🖪 📵
Microsoft Docs Windows Microsoft Azure Visue	al Studio Office More \sim	All Microsoft 🧹 🔎
		🖻 Share 🜙 Dark 🛛 Sign in

Filter by titl

Welcor

- > Quantum computing concepts
- > Installation and validation
- Quickstart your first quantum program
- Managing quantum machines and drivers
- > Quantum development techniques
- > Q# libraries
- > Q# programming language
- Glossary
- For more information
- > Release Notes
- Licens
- > Q# library reference
- > C# components reference

Welcome to the Microsoft Quantum Development Kit Preview

10/09/2017 · 3 minutes to read · Contributors 🔅 🔀 🖨 🎒

Thank you for your interest in Microsoft Quantum Development KI preview. The development kit contains the tools you'll need to build your own quantum computing programs and experiments. Assuming some experience with Microsoft Visual Studio or Visual Studio Code, beginners can write their first quantum program, and experienced researchers can quickly and efficiently develop new quantum algorithms.

To jump right in, start with <u>installation and validation</u> to create and validate your development environment. Then use <u>Quickstart - your first quantum program</u> to learn about the structure of a Q^{ij} project and how to write the quantum equivalent of "Hello, world!" - creating entanglement, or what is also known as a Bell State, in Q#.

You should also vitit our <u>amales recordor</u>, that showcases multiple examples on how to write quantum programs using QP. Most of these samples are written using our open-source <u>nuantum</u> <u>libraries</u>, including our <u>standard</u> and <u>chemistry</u>. Ithranies. If you want to dive deeper into QP programming, check out the <u>Quantum Stats</u> - a collection of self-paced tutorials introducing you to quantum computing via programming exercises in QP.

If you'd like more general information about Microsoft's quantum computing initiative, see <u>Microsoft Quantum</u>.

In this article

Feedback Pipeline

Microsoft Quantum Development Kit Components

Quantum Development Kit Documentation

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨ

Figure: Microsoft Q# Development Kit

Quantum Computers: The Qubit

• The fundamental constituent of a quantum computer is a quantum bit (**qubit**).

Quantum Computers: The Qubit

- The fundamental constituent of a quantum computer is a quantum bit (**qubit**).
- In theory, any 2-level quantum system can be realized as an implementation of a qubit (e.g. Mach-Zehnder interferometer {|u⟩, |d⟩}).

Quantum Computers: The Qubit

- The fundamental constituent of a quantum computer is a quantum bit (**qubit**).
- In theory, any 2-level quantum system can be realized as an implementation of a qubit (e.g. Mach-Zehnder interferometer {|u⟩, |d⟩}).
- A commonly adopted 2-level system is the *spin* of spin-¹/₂ particles. (think of quantum spin as the 2-level quantized version of the classical spin for a charged sphere).
Quantum Computers: The Qubit

- The fundamental constituent of a quantum computer is a quantum bit (**qubit**).
- In theory, any 2-level quantum system can be realized as an implementation of a qubit (e.g. Mach-Zehnder interferometer {|u⟩, |d⟩}).
- A commonly adopted 2-level system is the *spin* of spin-¹/₂ particles. (think of quantum spin as the 2-level quantized version of the classical spin for a charged sphere).
- This quantization allows us to represent the *orthogonal* states of our spin qubit as follows:

$$\left|0\right\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ \left|1\right\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

• We have 2 vector spaces in which one is 'embedded' in the other. These vector spaces are:

- We have 2 vector spaces in which one is 'embedded' in the other. These vector spaces are:
 - The 2D vector space of spin states (quantum state space).

- We have 2 vector spaces in which one is 'embedded' in the other. These vector spaces are:
 - The 2D vector space of spin states (quantum state space).
 - The **3D** vector space of **rotations** (real space).

- We have 2 vector spaces in which one is 'embedded' in the other. These vector spaces are:
 - The **2D** vector space of **spin states** (quantum state space).
 - The **3D** vector space of **rotations** (real space).
- This means that in any arbitrary direction in real space, there lives a 2D complex vector space.

- We have 2 vector spaces in which one is 'embedded' in the other. These vector spaces are:
 - The **2D** vector space of **spin states** (quantum state space).
 - The **3D** vector space of **rotations** (real space).
- This means that in any arbitrary direction in real space, there lives a 2D complex vector space.
- A method of visualizing is known as the *Bloch sphere* geometric representation. This will not be covered.

- We have 2 vector spaces in which one is 'embedded' in the other. These vector spaces are:
 - The **2D** vector space of **spin states** (quantum state space).
 - The **3D** vector space of **rotations** (real space).
- This means that in any arbitrary direction in real space, there lives a 2D complex vector space.
- A method of visualizing is known as the *Bloch sphere* geometric representation. This will not be covered.
- I give an alternative means of visualization that may be useful and I find rather intuitive.

Quantum Copmuters: The Qubit



Figure: Visualization of Spin Rotations

• As in classical computers, we now want to be able to perform operations on our qubits.

- As in classical computers, we now want to be able to perform operations on our qubits.
- These can be done in the form of quantum gates (similar to logic gates in the classical circuit model).

- As in classical computers, we now want to be able to perform operations on our qubits.
- These can be done in the form of quantum gates (similar to logic gates in the classical circuit model).
- Physical operations to a closed system in quantum mechanics must be unitary, so we need to look for unitary operators which can act as our quantum gates.

- As in classical computers, we now want to be able to perform operations on our qubits.
- These can be done in the form of quantum gates (similar to logic gates in the classical circuit model).
- Physical operations to a closed system in quantum mechanics must be unitary, so we need to look for unitary operators which can act as our quantum gates.
- When adopting spin as qubits, we are given some nice tools to work with related to spin observables (Pauli and identity matrices).

- As in classical computers, we now want to be able to perform operations on our qubits.
- These can be done in the form of quantum gates (similar to logic gates in the classical circuit model).
- Physical operations to a closed system in quantum mechanics must be unitary, so we need to look for unitary operators which can act as our quantum gates.
- When adopting spin as qubits, we are given some nice tools to work with related to spin observables (Pauli and identity matrices).

$$\mathbb{I} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \sigma_z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

• The eigenstates of the Pauli matrices (in the z-basis) are:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}_{x} : & |+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1 \end{bmatrix}, & |-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\-1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \hat{\sigma}_{y} : & |\uparrow\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\i \end{bmatrix}, & |\downarrow\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\-i \end{bmatrix} \\ \hat{\sigma}_{z} : & |0\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, & |1\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

RW (SUTD)

IAP 2019 18 / 34

• The eigenstates of the Pauli matrices (in the z-basis) are:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}_{x} : & |+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1 \end{bmatrix}, & |-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\-1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \hat{\sigma}_{y} : & |\uparrow\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\i \end{bmatrix}, & |\downarrow\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\-i \end{bmatrix} \\ \hat{\sigma}_{z} : & |0\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, & |1\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

• The Pauli matrices follow the following commutation relation called the **spin algebra**:

$$[\hat{\sigma}_i, \hat{\sigma}_j] = 2i\varepsilon_{ijk}\hat{\sigma}_k$$

• The eigenstates of the Pauli matrices (in the z-basis) are:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}_{x} : & |+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1 \end{bmatrix}, & |-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\-1 \end{bmatrix} \\ \hat{\sigma}_{y} : & |\uparrow\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\i \end{bmatrix}, & |\downarrow\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1\\-i \end{bmatrix} \\ \hat{\sigma}_{z} : & |0\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\0 \end{bmatrix}, & |1\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\1 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

• The Pauli matrices follow the following commutation relation called the **spin algebra**:

$$[\hat{\sigma}_i, \hat{\sigma}_j] = 2i\varepsilon_{ijk}\hat{\sigma}_k$$

• Pauli matrices are all unitary, Hermitian and involutory.

Check the commutation relations of the Pauli matrices. What are their anti-commutation relations?

(Hint: The anti-commutator is defined as $\{\hat{A}, \hat{B}\} = \hat{A}\hat{B} + \hat{B}\hat{A}$.)



RW (SUTD)

< ∃ > <



RW (SUTD)

IAP 2019 21 / 34

< ∃ > <



Figure: CNOT Gate

[1	0	0	0]
0	1	0	0
0	0	0	1
0	0	1	0

RW (SUTD)

IAP 2019 22 / 34

Break

RW (SUTD)



▲ ■
■

</

< A

.

• Having a computer (even a quantum one) with one bit (qubit) isn't very useful.

- Having a computer (even a quantum one) with one bit (qubit) isn't very useful.
- In quantum computers, the addition of more qubits scales the computational capacity exponential (unlike in classical computers).

- Having a computer (even a quantum one) with one bit (qubit) isn't very useful.
- In quantum computers, the addition of more qubits scales the computational capacity exponential (unlike in classical computers).
- For multi-particle (many-body) quantum systems, we require the use of **tensor products** (presented as **Kronecker products**).

- Having a computer (even a quantum one) with one bit (qubit) isn't very useful.
- In quantum computers, the addition of more qubits scales the computational capacity exponential (unlike in classical computers).
- For multi-particle (many-body) quantum systems, we require the use of **tensor products** (presented as **Kronecker products**).
- This 'expands' the Hilbert space of our quantum system → given the Hilbert spaces of each sub-quantum system being {H₁, ..., H_n}, then the Hilbert space of the total system is given by H₁ ⊗ ... ⊗ H_n, with dim(H₁ ⊗ ... ⊗ H_n) = dim(H₁)...dim(H_n).

1

Definition

Given 2 vectors:

$$\psi\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ \psi_j \\ \psi_{j+1} \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}, \quad |\phi\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ \phi_j \\ \phi_{j+1} \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix}$$

with $|\psi\rangle \in V$ and $|\phi\rangle \in W$, the Kronecker product of $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$ is given by:

$$|\psi\rangle \otimes |\phi\rangle = |\psi\rangle |\phi\rangle = |\psi\phi\rangle = \begin{vmatrix} \vdots \\ \psi_j |\phi\rangle \\ \psi_{j+1} |\phi\rangle \\ \vdots \end{vmatrix}$$

RW (SUTD)

Definition

Given 2 matrices:

$$\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \hat{B} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} & \dots & b_{1nm} \\ b_{21} & b_{22} & \dots & b_{2m} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ b_{m1} & b_{m2} & \dots & b_{mm} \end{bmatrix}$$

the Kronecker product of \hat{A} and \hat{B} is defined as:

$$\hat{A} \otimes \hat{B} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}\hat{B} & a_{12}\hat{B} & \dots & a_{1n}\hat{B} \\ a_{21}\hat{B} & a_{22}\hat{B} & \dots & a_{2n}\hat{B} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1}\hat{B} & a_{n2}\hat{B} & \dots & a_{nn}\hat{B} \end{bmatrix}$$

→ Ξ → →

The action of these operators on states in the larger space is:

$$(\hat{A}_{1} \otimes ... \otimes \hat{A}_{n})(|\psi\rangle_{1} \otimes ... \otimes |\psi\rangle_{n}) = \hat{A}_{1} |\psi\rangle_{1} \otimes ... \otimes \hat{A}_{n} |\psi\rangle_{n}$$

We now introduce the formalism of quantum circuit diagrams. Quantum circuits are drawn in the following steps:

• Specify the qubit inputs.

- Specify the qubit inputs.
- Connect quantum wires from inputs to outputs.

- Specify the qubit inputs.
- Connect quantum wires from inputs to outputs.
- Specify intermediate gates to take inputs to outputs.

- Specify the qubit inputs.
- Connect quantum wires from inputs to outputs.
- Specify intermediate gates to take inputs to outputs.
- Include any classical post-processing required.

We now introduce the formalism of quantum circuit diagrams. Quantum circuits are drawn in the following steps:

- Specify the qubit inputs.
- Connect quantum wires from inputs to outputs.
- Specify intermediate gates to take inputs to outputs.
- Include any classical post-processing required.

Example:



Figure: Quantum Circuit for GHZ State

• Consider the state:

$$\left|\Phi^{+}\right\rangle = \frac{\left|0\right\rangle_{A}\otimes\left|0\right\rangle_{B}+\left|1\right\rangle_{A}\otimes\left|1\right\rangle_{B}}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{\left|00\right\rangle_{AB}+\left|11\right\rangle_{AB}}{\sqrt{2}}$$

Consider the state:

$$\left|\Phi^{+}\right\rangle = \frac{\left|0\right\rangle_{A}\otimes\left|0\right\rangle_{B}+\left|1\right\rangle_{A}\otimes\left|1\right\rangle_{B}}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{\left|00\right\rangle_{AB}+\left|11\right\rangle_{AB}}{\sqrt{2}}$$

• This state is unique because if you measure and determined the state in *A*, the state in *B* is automatically known!
Consider the state:

$$\left|\Phi^{+}\right\rangle = \frac{\left|0\right\rangle_{A}\otimes\left|0\right\rangle_{B}+\left|1\right\rangle_{A}\otimes\left|1\right\rangle_{B}}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{\left|00\right\rangle_{AB}+\left|11\right\rangle_{AB}}{\sqrt{2}}$$

- This state is unique because if you measure and determined the state in *A*, the state in *B* is automatically known!
- There seems to be this binding correlation between particles A and B no matter the distance between them. This phenomena is what physicist term **quantum entanglement**.

• We can now look at the first quantum protocol, **quantum teleportation**.

- We can now look at the first quantum protocol, **quantum teleportation**.
- It has use because of the no-cloning theorem:

Theorem

The no-cloning theorem states that it is impossible to create an identical copy of some arbitrary **unknown** quantum state $|\psi\rangle$.

- We can now look at the first quantum protocol, **quantum teleportation**.
- It has use because of the no-cloning theorem:

Theorem

The no-cloning theorem states that it is impossible to create an identical copy of some arbitrary **unknown** quantum state $|\psi\rangle$.

• In this protocol the goal is transmitting quantum information between 2 individuals (Alice and Bob) who agree on a prior scheme.

• We can now look at the first quantum protocol, **quantum teleportation**.

• It has use because of the no-cloning theorem:

Theorem

The no-cloning theorem states that it is impossible to create an identical copy of some arbitrary **unknown** quantum state $|\psi\rangle$.

- In this protocol the goal is transmitting quantum information between 2 individuals (Alice and Bob) who agree on a prior scheme.
- This will be done by the sending classical bits and utilizing quantum entangle.

• Alice has a single qubit quantum state which she wants to send over to Bob. Alice and Bob also share a 2-qubit entangled state, which means Alice has 2 qubits and Bob, 1.

- Alice has a single qubit quantum state which she wants to send over to Bob. Alice and Bob also share a 2-qubit entangled state, which means Alice has 2 qubits and Bob, 1.
- Alice then performs a measurement in the **Bell basis** on her 2 qubits which grants her 2 classical bits.

- Alice has a single qubit quantum state which she wants to send over to Bob. Alice and Bob also share a 2-qubit entangled state, which means Alice has 2 qubits and Bob, 1.
- Alice then performs a measurement in the **Bell basis** on her 2 qubits which grants her 2 classical bits.
- Alice then sends her 2 classical bits over a classical channel to Bob.

- Alice has a single qubit quantum state which she wants to send over to Bob. Alice and Bob also share a 2-qubit entangled state, which means Alice has 2 qubits and Bob, 1.
- Alice then performs a measurement in the **Bell basis** on her 2 qubits which grants her 2 classical bits.
- Alice then sends her 2 classical bits over a classical channel to Bob.
- Bob receives the 2 classical bits, and performs the necessary unitary on his qubit according to a previously agreed upon rule, obtaining Alice's original qubit. The state has been effectively teleported.

To do a measurement in the Bell basis, we run our outputs through a circuit that allows us to measure in the computational basis:

A CNOT gate from the 1^{st} to the 2^{nd} qubit, then a Hadamard to the 1^{st} qubit.

$$\begin{split} \left| \Phi^{+} \right\rangle &= \frac{\left| 00 \right\rangle + \left| 11 \right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \xrightarrow{\text{CNOT}} \frac{\left| 00 \right\rangle + \left| 10 \right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \left| + \right\rangle \left| 0 \right\rangle \xrightarrow{H \otimes \mathbb{I}} \left| 00 \right\rangle \\ \left| \Psi^{+} \right\rangle &= \frac{\left| 01 \right\rangle + \left| 10 \right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \xrightarrow{\text{CNOT}} \frac{\left| 01 \right\rangle + \left| 11 \right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \left| + \right\rangle \left| 1 \right\rangle \xrightarrow{H \otimes \mathbb{I}} \left| 01 \right\rangle \\ \left| \Phi^{-} \right\rangle &= \frac{\left| 00 \right\rangle - \left| 11 \right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \xrightarrow{\text{CNOT}} \frac{\left| 00 \right\rangle - \left| 10 \right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \left| - \right\rangle \left| 0 \right\rangle \xrightarrow{H \otimes \mathbb{I}} \left| 10 \right\rangle \\ \left| \Psi^{-} \right\rangle &= \frac{\left| 01 \right\rangle - \left| 10 \right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \xrightarrow{\text{CNOT}} \frac{\left| 01 \right\rangle - \left| 11 \right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} = \left| - \right\rangle \left| 1 \right\rangle \xrightarrow{H \otimes \mathbb{I}} \left| 11 \right\rangle \end{split}$$

After sending the classical bits, we apply the respective recovery operators:

After sending the classical bits, we apply the respective **recovery operators**:

 $\begin{array}{l} 00 \rightarrow \mathbb{I} \\ 01 \rightarrow \sigma_{x} \\ 10 \rightarrow \sigma_{z} \\ 11 \rightarrow \sigma_{x}\sigma_{z} \end{array}$

.⊒ . ►

After sending the classical bits, we apply the respective **recovery operators**:

 $\begin{array}{l} 00 \rightarrow \mathbb{I} \\ 01 \rightarrow \sigma_{x} \\ 10 \rightarrow \sigma_{z} \\ 11 \rightarrow \sigma_{x} \sigma_{z} \end{array}$

The Q-teleportation protocol as a circuit is given below:



After sending the classical bits, we apply the respective recovery operators:

$$\begin{array}{l} 00 \rightarrow \mathbb{I} \\ 01 \rightarrow \sigma_{x} \\ 10 \rightarrow \sigma_{z} \\ 11 \rightarrow \sigma_{x}\sigma_{z} \end{array}$$

The Q-teleportation protocol as a circuit is given below:



Figure: Quantum Teleportation Circuit

RW (SUTD)

Thank you! https://tinyurl.com/TQWday3



< ∃ >